



Introduction to Particle Accelerators: Part 2

Dr Graeme Burt

Lancaster University

New Frontier Challenges

Energy Frontier

Intensity Frontier

Brightness Frontier

Cockcroft PG Education 2015 M W Poole

Energy Frontier

 Accelerators have their own version of Moores law know as a Livingston plot. The energy of accelerators have increase by a factor of 10 every 10 years.



The Large Hadron Collider

- Engineers working on particle accelerators are at the forefront of modern engineering.
- The Large Hadron Collider is the worlds largest and highest energy accelerator.
- It is 27 km in circumference buried 175 meters underground.
- 96 tonnes of liquid helium is required to keeps its 27 tonnes of magnets at a temperature of -271 degrees C making it the worlds largest cryogenic facility.
- It consumes 120 MW of power (10% of Geneva's total consumption).



CERN Accelerator Complex





Limits to Proton Synchrotron Energy

• Radius of the machine is inversely proportional to magnet flux density

radius =
$$\frac{\gamma m v}{eB}$$

- Plus we need straight sections for RF and focussing.
- Solution: Bigger magnets or Bigger machine
- Superconducting cables allow stronger electromagnets as high currents can flow without losses

The LHC Dipole Magnet



Thermodynamic Critical Field

- When electrons condence into cooper pairs the superconducting state becomes more ordered than the normal conducting state and hence the free energy is lower.
- When an excternal magnetic field is applied to the superconductor, supercurrents flow to cancel the field and hence the free energy increases.
- When the external field rises to such a level, H_c, that the superconducting state and normal conducting state have an equal amount of free energy the states are in equilibrium.
- When the external field reaches H_c all the flux enters the superconductor. This is known as the thermodynamic critical field. It is around 200 mT for Nb.
- This also leads to a critical current density.

Magnet progress • LHC dipoles features 8.3 T in

- LHC dipoles features 8.3 T in 56 mm (designed for 9.3 peak field)
- LHC IT Quads features 205 T/m in 70 mm with 8 T peak field
- HL-LHC
 - 11 T dipole (designed for 12.3 T peak field, 60 mm)
 - New IT Quads features 140 T/m in 150 mm > 12 T operational field, designed for 13.5 T).







And here...



ot a D

Limits to Electron Synchrotron Energy

- Circular machines are limited by synchrotron losses
- Linear machines are limited by RF gradient
- Muon colliders have major technical challenges



Electron Circular Acceleration

<u>Synchrotron Radiation</u> from an electron in a magnetic field:



$$P_{\gamma} = \frac{e^2 c^2}{2\pi} C_{\gamma} E^2 B^2$$

<u>Energy loss</u> per turn of a machine with an average <u>bending radius</u> ρ :

$$\Delta E / rev = \frac{C_{\gamma} E^4}{\rho}$$

Energy loss must be replaced by RF system cost scaling \$ $\propto E_{cm}^{2}$

Running costs

- There is a need for high efficient and reliable power sources when looking at the feasibility of future projects based on large accelerators and the sustainability of high power machines.
 - FCC has high power requirements of 100MW CW
- This means we need 800 Million kW-hr
- At 5p per kW-hr that's an electricity bill of £40 Million per year (probably can be negotiated cheaper)
- That's not including losses due to efficiency
- FCC will likely have power requirements greater than all of Manchester.

Gas Breakdown

- If we apply a high voltage across a gap we can ionise the molecules in the intervening gas.
- At high pressure the mean free path is too low to gain enough momentum
- At low pressure there are not enough molecules to ionise.



(or pressure for a fixed electrode separation)

Does this mean we don't get breakdown in vacuum?

Kilpatrick Limits

• A rough empirical formula for the peak surface electric field is $E_{
m s,\ max} pprox 195 {
m MV/m} [
u({
m GHz})]^{1/2}.$

- The field strength increases with frequency.
- It is also noted that breakdown is mitigated slightly by going to lower group velocity structures.
- The maximum field strength also varies with pulse length as t^{-0.25} (only true for a limited number of pulse lengths)
- As a SCRF cavity would quench long before breakdown, we only see breakdown in normal conducting structures.

Original CLIC Accelerating Structures

Geometry optimized to reduce surface electric and magnetic fields First high power test early 2006



Main Linac RF Overview





chemical polish

electro-polish

9-cell 1.3GHz Niobium Cavity Reference design: has survived for 10+ years



 $35 \text{ MV/m} \Rightarrow 40 \text{ km}$

Limited by thermodynamic critical magnetic field

560 RF units each one composed of:

• 1 modulator

- 1 multibeam klystron (10 MW, 1.6 ms)
- 3 cryostats (9+8+9 = 26 cavities)
- 1 quadrupole at the center



Total 1680 cryomodules and 14 560 SC RF cavities !



The next generation of colliders is likely to be big....

AFTER THE HIGGS

Physicists are weighing four major alternatives for a machine to follow the Large Hadron Collider. Three would smash together opposing beams of electrons and positrons. One, the Muon Collider, would instead use muons and anti-muons.

0-24m

CIC:50 Mm

MUON COLLIDER Energy level: Multiple TeV

nergy level: multiple le

PRO: High energy, compact; could fit on an existing site.

CON: Muon lifetime is only 2.2 microseconds.

LARGE ELECTRON-POSITRON COLLIDER 3

Energy level: 0.24 TeV

11C: 30 km

PRO: Lowest cost; reuse LHC detectors and infrastructure.

CON: Limited in energy.

LINEAR COLLIDER COMPACT LINEAR COLLIDER (CLIC)

Energy level: ~3 TeV

INTERNATIONAL LINEAR COLLIDER (ILC) Energy level: 0.5–1 TeV

PRO: No synchrotron radiation losses; potential to increase energy as needed.

CON: High cost, large size, need for a new site.

8.4 40

Novel or Advanced Accelerators

- Laser-Plasma-based electron and hadron accelerators:
 - Driven by lasers (for both e- and hadron) e-: Multi-GeV beams have been achieved → beam energy sufficient for applications → applications around the corner???
 - Hadrons: ion beams have been produced and transported at low energies
 - Laser pushes electrons out the way leaving an ion channel which accelerates electrons



Laser Plasma Accelerators Challenges

- Limited interaction length due to phase slippage between the laser and the beam. This limited energy per stage.
- Shot-to-shot stability is of the percent level on energy, charge, direction
- Efficiency is at least one or two order of magnitude less than conventional sources (around 1% if that). For a 1 TeV collider at CERN the required power would dwarf the rest of Geneva (roughly 10 times the entire energy budget of Geneva) and would potentially require several new dedicated power stations.
- Rep-rate is limited by material heating to sub-1Hz, this would limit luminosity.
- Laser efficiency and rep-rate could be increased by future laser technology by using optical amplification or locking millions of fibre lasers
- Reaching higher energies requires bigger lasers than are currently available or by staging
- Staging is difficult due to the variation in beam properties and difficulties in injecting both beam and laser

Are there other options?



Lasers could be used with dielectrics to overcome the stability issues and reach gradients up to 1 GV/m. Using THz lasers/vacuum tubes significantly increases beam quality over shorter wavelength sources in dielectrics. Efficiency still is an issue at present.





You could drive the plasma with a proton or electron beam as opposed to a laser. The drive beam could be a highly efficient high current beam. This would be far more efficient and stable than a laser plasma accelerator. Likely the most viable option for a novel multi-TeV collider other than traditional accelerators. But luminosity is low



Brightness frontier

Electron Storage Ring Brightness **BRIGHTNESS** = $\frac{Flux}{Emittance}$ (Emittance is phase space area) $\mathcal{E} = k \frac{E^2}{N_{cell}^3}$

SRS: 2 GeV 16 cell 100 nm-rads ESRF: 6 GeV 32 cell 4 nm-rads



Beam Sizes at Collisions



Established Third Generation Light Source



ESRF

6 GeV

Undulator Sources See later lectures

Grenoble

Diamond Light Source













Storage Ring Problems as Light Sources

•Equilibrium beam dimensions set by radiation emission
•Beam lifetime limits bunch density (10¹¹ turns)
•Demanding UHV environment
•Undulators restricted by cell structure and apertures
•Most issues worse at low energies (eg < 1 GeV)

FUNDAMENTAL 3GLS LIMITATIONS

Touschek Lifetime

- Touschek lifetime is related to intra-bunch Coulomb scattering of particles.
- Touschek Lifetime depends on:
 - Current
 - Energy
 - Momentum Acceptance
 - Emittance
 - Bunch Length







Converts linac to high current capability Energy stored by beam is later returned to the RF systems by deceleration.



Chirped beam compression

'Green' machine: energy recovery

ALICE = Accelerators and Lasers in Combined Experiments

The Free Electron Laser

- Free space radiation has transverse fields, ie fields are perpendicular to direction of motion.
- In order to coherently amplify signals we need the beam both travel with the wave and in the direction of the field. How do we do this?
- A periodic set of magnets causes the beam to undulate. This generates undulator radiation.
- As electrons are decelerated by the wave (and others are accelerated) they form bunches, when these bunches are decelerated they radiate coherently



Free Electron Laser (FEL) Principle



- relativistic electron beam passes through periodic magnetic field radiates
- mirror feeds spontaneous emission back onto the beam
- spontaneous emission enhanced by stimulated emission

n = 1,2,3...

$$\gamma = \frac{E}{m_0 c^2}, K = 0.934 B_0 \lambda_u$$

 λ_u is the undulator period
(B₀ is in Tesla and λ_u is in cm)



- electrons start emitting incoherent radiation
- radiation from the tail of the bunch interacts with electrons nearer the front, causing the electrons to bunch on the scale of the radiation wavelength
- due to the bunching, the electrons emit more coherently
- more radiation → more bunching → more radiation ... an instability !
- radiation power grows exponentially

Need for very high peak currents ~ kA

World Record - LCLS 2009



Linac Coherent Light Source





Use of 1/3 SLAC Linac



FEL Challenges

- To go to shorter wavelengths we either need to
 - Use novel FEL concepts using higher harmonics, these can ofter have less power or are difficult
 - Go to higher energy machines (XFEL,LCLS), this then leads to the same challenges as colliders





The 'FEL Case' for an FEL Test Facility



- Free-Electron Lasers (FELs) are remarkable scientific tools
- Short-wavelength FELs are operating for users around the world, for example LCLS (USA), SACLA (Japan), FLASH (Germany) and FERMI@Elettra (Italy).
- There are still many ways their output could be improved:
 - Shorter Pulses
 - Improved Temporal Coherence
 - Tailored Pulse Structures
 - Stability & Power
- There are many ideas for achieving these aims, but many of these ideas are untested
- Beamtime on FELs is over subscribed by users and so little time for R&D

Compact Linear Accelerator for Research and Applications



Study of ultra-short pulse regimes (fs)Up to 1 kAFlexible beam parameters400-100 nmmatches seed and diagnostics availabilityPhoto-injector and accelerator structure development

Existing/Future Sources





| Institute | Name | Wavelength (nm) | Pulses/s | Energy (GeV) | First Lasing |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| SINAP | SXFEL | 2-9 | 10 | 0.84-1.6 | 10/2017 |
| PSI | SwissFEL (Athos) | 0.7-7.0 | 100 | 2.5 - 3.4 | 2016 |
| | SwissFEL (Aramis) | 0.1-0.7 | 100 | 2.1 - 5.8 | |
| SLAC | LCLS-II (SXR) | 0.25 - 6.2 | 106 | 2.0-4.0 | end 2019 |
| | LCLS-II (HXR) | 0.05 - 1.2 | 120 | 2.5 - 15 | |
| PAL | XFEL (SX) | 1.0 - 4.5 | 60 | 2.6 - 3.2 | 12/2016 |
| | XFEL (HX) | 0.06-0.6 | 60 | 4-10 | |
| DESY | European XFEL (SXR) | 0.4-5 | $27 	imes 10^3$ | 8-17.5 | 2017 |
| | European XFEL (HXR) | 0.05-0.4 | $27 	imes 10^3$ | 8-17.5 | |

Intensity Frontier

- High power proton accelerators (HPPA)
- Wide range of applications
- Modest energies (usually)
- High beam current issues supply and control (losses)
- No radiation emission effects (heavy protons)
- Major space charge concerns (non-relativistic)

ISIS Spallation Neutron Source

At RAL site – operational since 1983, replacing NIMROD



H- ion source (35 keV) 665 kV H- RFQ 70 MeV H- linac 800 MeV proton synchrotron



ISIS Views











Intensity Challenges

- Capture and Acceleration at low energy, needs strong focussing (lots of magnets) and different types of accelerating structures matched to the particle velocity.
- High duty cycles (long pulses, and high rep rates) need Superconducting RF to reduce RF losses.
- High power on Targets need liquid metal or high temperatures.
- Beam losses (damage and activation)
- Space charge (repulsion between protons) blows the beam appart so strong focussing required

Space charge defocussing

- \rightarrow Large numbers of particles per bunch (~10¹⁰).
- \rightarrow Coulomb repulsion between particles (space charge) plays an important role.
- \rightarrow But space charge forces ~ $1/\gamma^2$ disappear at relativistic velocity
- \rightarrow Space charge appears to the 1st order as a defocussing quadrupole in both planes so can be corrected with quadrupole doublet/triplet etc.



$$F = e(E_r - vB_{\varphi}) = eE_r(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}) = eE_r(1 - \beta^2) = \frac{eE_r}{\gamma^2}$$

PSI - World's Most Powerful Accelerator



Zurich

590 MeV 2 mA

1.2 MW

Sector Focusing Cyclotron – Not flexible but high power, but low energy

SNS – Oak Ridge Tennesee



- 1 MW Next Generation Neutron Source
- 1 GeV H⁻ driver superconducting above 185 MeV (first in world, 805 MHz)
- Accumulator/compressor ring (700 ns pulses)





Liquid mercury target (18 tonnes, 1 m³)

Needs a complex set of acceleration sections to go from low to high velocity.

Uses superconducting RF to operate at high duty factors.

Linac = 350 m



Ring = 250 m

European Spallation Source



17 Partners

Construction started 4 July 2014

Commissioning: 2019 ?

Cost ~ 1.8 Geuro (UK contriutions include cavity production at Daresbury)

5 MW

2 GeV Long Pulse (2ms)



Japanese Multi-Use Facility (J-PARC)



High Intensity Proton Accelerator Project

1 MW

Front End Test Stand at RAL (FETS)

FETS planned main components:

- High brightness H⁻ ion source
- Magnetic Low Energy Beam Transport (LEBT)
- High current, high duty factor Radio Frequency Quadrupole
- Very high speed beam chopper
- Comprehensive diagnostics

Demonstration of injector for multi-MW source





Possible future high current linac addition

65 keV 60 mA

3 MeV 324 MHz

Neutrino Factory Present Baseline Design



Muon acceleration and storage

MICE – Cooling Experiment at RAL

